

Subject: INFO-HAMS Digest V89 #923
To: INFO-HAMS@WSMR-SIMTEL20.ARMY.MIL

INFO-HAMS Digest Thu, 23 Nov 89 Volume 89 : Issue 923

Today's Topics:

(#1 in series) Listen to store security guards catch shoplifters (2 msgs)
 ARRL 86: SM ELECTION RESULTS
 Listening to private communications
 Military aircraft callsigns...Eugene Balinski (2 msgs)
 No more amateur radio fees?

Date: 23 Nov 89 04:07:04 GMT

From: cs.utexas.edu!samsung!rex!mgse!marks@tut.cis.ohio-state.edu (Mark Seiffert)
Subject: (#1 in series) Listen to store security guards catch shoplifters

In article <8587.256A1EBD@stjhmc.fidonet.org> Jim.Grubs@f1.n234.z1.fidonet.org
(Jim Grubs) writes:

-> From: neal@lynx.uucp (Neal Woodall)
- > Jim Grubs writes:
- > Bob Parnass writes:
- >
- > >>It's getting near the holiday season -- a great time for listening
- > >>to store security guards catch shoplifters.
- >
- > >Parnass, you've gone off the deep end with this irresponsible posting. Is
- > >your hobby of electronic voyeururism so vital to you that it justifies
- > aiding
- > >criminals to evade detection and apprehension? I think you need to recheck
- > >your priorities.
- >
- > Grubbs, I think that you are really in need of help. Lighten up, will
- > you?
- > How in the hell will listening to security guards catch petty thieves aid
- > the thieves in getting away?
-
-If you are the shoplifter, knowing the shop cop frequency is a helluva lot of
-help.
-

I could not agree more, why just the other day i was thinking about buying
a \$100 dollar scanner so I could fly to Chicago and steal a pair of socks
or maybe a new shirt or something, anything to test out my scanner. 8-)
--

Mark Seiffert, Metairie, LA.
uucp: rex!mgse!marks
bitnet: marks%mgse@REX.CS.TULANE.EDU

internet: marks%mgse@rex.cs.tulane.edu

Date: 23 Nov 89 02:47:42 GMT
From: pilchuck!ssc!tad@uunet.uu.net (Tad Cook)
Subject: (#1 in series) Listen to store security guards catch shoplifters

Regarding the controversey that Jim Grubbs has been stirring up as an "advocate" of the ECPA, I think he just LOVES to argue. A couple of months ago on the national Fidonet ham radio echo he got things jumping over there by telling a lot of prospective hams that checking out a piece of ham gear ON THE AIR before buying it was a federal crime, because there was obvious pecuniary interest! (In the examples being discussed, all parties were licensed). When I jumped in and told these poor Novices that trying out a radio before buying it was legal, I was told that I was no better than a pirate operating illegally on 27.9 MHz for even SUGGESTING disagreement with this extremist crap!

73,
Tad KT7H
tad@ssc.UUCP

Date: 23 Nov 89 04:57:19 GMT
From: n8emr!gws@tut.cis.ohio-state.edu (Gary Sanders)
Subject: ARRL 86: SM ELECTION RESULTS

=====
| Relayed from packet radio via |
| N8EMR's Ham BBS, 614-457-4227 (1200/2400/19.2 telebit,8N1) |
=====

ARRL BULLETIN 86 ARLB086
NOVEMBER 21, 1989

SECTION MANAGER ELECTION RESULTS. THE FOLLOWING AMATEURS HAVE BEEN
DECLARED ELECTED TO TERMS OF OFFICE THAT BEGIN JANUARY 1, 1990.

ALABAMA SECTION
MILDRED CULLEN, AA4XF

MICHIGAN SECTION
GEORGE RACE, WB8BGY

NEW MEXICO SECTION
JOE KNIGHT, W5PDY

TENNESSEE SECTION
HARRY SIMPSON, W4MI

EAST BAY SECTION
BOB VALLIO, W6RGG

SANTA BARBARA SECTION
THOMAS GEIGER, W2KVA

KANSAS SECTION
ROBERT SUMMERS, K0BXF

WESTERN MASSACHUSETTS SECTION
JEAN HURTLE, KA1IFC.

--

Gary W. Sanders (gws@n8emr or ...!osu-cis!n8emr!gws), 72277,1325
N8EMR @ W8CQK (ip addr) 44.70.0.1 [Ohio AMPR address coordinator]
HAM/SWL/SCANNER BBS (1200/2400/PEP) 614-457-4227

Date: 23 Nov 89 06:52:55 GMT
From: shelby!neon!kaufman@decwrl.dec.com (Marc T. Kaufman)
Subject: Listening to private communications

>From the Associated Press, 11/22/89:

Regarding the Discovery Shuttle mission -

"Sources close to the project reported the astronauts are to deploy a \$300 million 2 1/2 ton signal intelligence satellite designed to eavesdrop on military and diplomatic communications in the Soviet Union and other countries."

Jim... isn't that immoral? Why don't you make them stop it.

Marc Kaufman (kaufman@Neon.stanford.edu)
[that sounds like more fun than a Radio Shack scanner, though]

Date: 23 Nov 89 01:45:34 GMT
From: zephyr.ens.tek.com!orca!jeffg@uunet.uu.net (Jeff C. Glover)
Subject: Military aircraft callsigns...Eugene Balinski

In article <8387.256772D9@stjhmc.fidonet.org> you write:

>You shouldn't have to trust me. I'm not involved. It's the message sender's
>right to say "This is none of your business." This right is protected by the

>constitution and laws of the United States.

>

>It's true the public owns the spectrum, but then the public also owns the
>highways. That doesn't give you any rights with regard to anyone else's car or

>its contents.

>

>73 de Jim Grubs, W8GRT

This analogy stinks. If you don't want me to exercise my right to look
into your car on the freeway, you tint your windows. If you don't want
me to listen to the communications you are transmitting through my
house because you aren't careful enough to send it directly, then you
encrypt it.

You certainly have the right to say "This is none of your business."

However, you don't have the right to take away one of my rights in the
process. Especially if your right is contrived to save you money.

I fail to see how my right to listen or look saves me any money. However,
it may save my life and/or liberty.

Jeff

jeffg%orca.wv.tek.com@relay.cs.net -or- ...!uunet!tektronix!orca!jeffg

Date: 22 Nov 89 22:21:28 GMT

From: hpfcso!hpfcmgw!ron@hplabs.hp.com (Ron Miller)

Subject: Military aircraft callsigns...Eugene Balinski

Jim, why are you making all this fuss? Bob's postings and Eugene's
questions about scanner frequencies aren't meant for you. You weren't
supposed to read them.

Stop reading their postings. Stop it right now! Bob was talking to Eugene
and myself.

He wasn't talking to you. But you listened anyway. You are supposed to
read the *other* notes strings. We didn't agree that you could listen
to *our* notestring.

Maybe we'll have to confiscate your computer.....

Ron Miller

NWOU

PS- Would you believe I've *gained* respect for my local police department because I've listened to them? Yup. It's true.

Date: 23 Nov 89 01:55:20 GMT
From: mips!prls!philabs!briar.philips.com!rfc@apple.com (Robert Casey;6282;3.57;\$0201)
Subject: No more amateur radio fees?

Msg#	TSF	Size	#Rd	Date/Time	MsgID	From	To
9617	BN	1735	0	1122/0514	10460_WA2SNA	WA2DHF	ALL@UPDATE
Sb: No License Fees							

A.R.R.L. UPDATE.....LATEST INFORMATION FROM THE LEAGUE

AMATEUR LICENSE FEES DELETED!

FLASH -- AMATEUR LICENSE FEES
DROPPED FROM BUDGET RECONCILIATION
LEGISLATION

The Conference Committee on H.R.
3299, the Omnibus Budget
Reconciliation
Act of 1989, has approved deletion
of the amateur license fees from
the
legislation.

In its report released today, the
Conference Committee stated:
"Both the
House bill and the Senate bill
include fees on licensees in the
amateur
radio service. The Conference
Report strikes all of the fees
for amateur
radio licensees. The Conferees
recognize that amateur licensees
do not
operate for profit and play an
important public safety role in
times of

disaster or emergency."

There is essentially no chance of the fees being reintroduced into the legislation when it is considered for final passage in the House and Senate.

We have every reason to be proud of the League's success in reversing the tide on the fee issue. In the current budgetary environment, avoiding fees altogether is an enormous accomplishment which was achieved against heavy odds. Hearty congratulations are due all concerned, and especially to our congressional relations consultants, Chwat-Weigend Associates, Perry Williams, and the many League field volunteers who played an active role in writing to their Senators and Representatives helping in the defeat.

A.R.R.L.
UPDATE.....LATEST
INFORMATION FROM THE
LEAGUE
is a service of ARRL
Hudson Division
Director Steve
Mendelsohn, WA2DHF

1938z, 701 msgs, #9634
last @KD6TH-4 MailBox>

End of INFO-HAMS Digest V89 Issue #923
